



International Journal of Farmacia (IJF)

IJF | Vol. 10 | Issue 4 | Oct - Dec -2024

www.ijfjournal.com

DOI : <https://doi.org/10.61096/ijf.v10.iss4.2024.198-207>

ISSN: 2231-3656



Research

Experimental design approach by using rapid high performance liquid chromatographic method for the determination of Sacubitril 24mg and Valsartan 26mg assay by dissolution method in table dosage form

Narsareddy Nandini Reddy*, A. Shailaja, Mohammad Omar

Arya college of Pharmacy, Kandi, Sangareddy, Affiliated to Osmania University, Hyderabad, Sangareddy, Telangana 502285

*Author for Correspondence: Narsareddy Nandini Reddy
Email: nandinireddy2512@gmail.com

| | |
|---|---|
|  | Abstract |
| Published on: 19 Nov 2024 | <p>A rapid high performance liquid dosage form. A Kinetics C8, 150 mm or equivalent in isocratic mode, with mobile phase containing a mixture of 0.01 M potassium di-hydrogen phosphate buffer (adjusted to pH 6.8. using 0.2 M sodium hydroxide): buffer: acetonitrile in the ration of 55:45 v/v. The mobile phase was pumped at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min and the eluents were monitored at 241 nm. The selected chromatographic conditions were found to effectively separate r Sacubitril and Valsartan 24mg/26mg (about RT: 5.98 min and about 7.012). The method was validated in terms of linearity, accuracy, precision, and specificity, limit of detection and limit of quantitation. Linearity for Sacubitril and Valsartan 24mg/26mg were found okay respectively. The percentage recoveries for Sacubitril and Valsartan 24mg/26mg ranged respectively.. The method was found to be robust and can be successfully used to determine the drug content of marketed formulations. The method gives resolution with a short analysis time (< 11min). The method parameter was validated and establishes to be simple, sensitive, accurate and precise. Percentage of recovery shows that the method is free from interference of the excipients used in the formulation. Therefore, the planned method can be used for routine analysis of Sacubitril and Valsartan 24mg/26mg in medical dosage form.</p> |
| Published by: DrSriram Publications | |
| <p>2024 All rights reserved.</p>  <p>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.</p> | |
| | Keywords: Sacubitril,Valsartan, RHPLC, Dissolution, Validation |

INTRODUCTION

Sacubitril and Valsartan is a promising fixed-dose combination therapy which solves the problems that the heart failure drugs existed previously. The brand name under which this drug combination is marketed is Entresto, and it represents a new class of pharmacological agents referred to as angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitors, ARNIs. Its introduction in the management landscape of heart failure has dramatically changed approaches, especially in those with a reduced ejection fraction, HFrEF.

Sacubitril/Valsartan is a new combination drug that has attracted a lot of attention, based on its ability to efficiently manage heart failure with reduced ejection fraction. In this regard, the potential therapeutic application due to the synergistic effect of neprilysin inhibitor Sacubitril combined with angiotensin II receptor

blocker Valsartan may be promising. Nevertheless, determination of Sacubitril/Valsartan concentrations in pharmaceutical formulations and biological samples cannot be overlooked since this could go a long way to achieve the required therapeutic efficacy and safety of patients.

Sacubitril/Valsartan is a combination drug containing neprilysin inhibitor Sacubitril and angiotensin II receptor blocker Valsartan. It has emerged as the therapeutic backbone of heart failure with reduced ejection fraction. The assessment of its concentrations is necessary for therapy to be both effective and safe for patients. High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) is an especially sensitive and selective analytical tool in pharmaceutical applications. This paper details the development and validation of a HPLC method that is specifically adapted for measuring the concentration of sacubitril/valsartan in both pharmaceutical formulations and in biological samples. Discussion regarding the optimization, validation parameters, and potential applications of the assay allow highlighting the importance of this method to pharmaceutical quality control and pharmacokinetic investigations. This novel combination drug, uniting the neprilysin inhibitor Sacubitril with the angiotensin II receptor blocker Valsartan, has revolutionized heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) treatment. The drug impacts multiple pathways involved in the pathophysiology of heart failure, and clinical outcomes are much better than those of traditional treatments.

In the development of the method, key considerations ought to be made on the selection of adequate chromatographic conditions and the appropriate wavelength for detection. This requires a series of considerations including column selection, mobile phase composition, flow rate, and wavelength for detection. To a large extent, this paper would focus on the validation of a method, which would include linearity, accuracy, precision, specificity, detection limit (LOD), and quantification limit (LOQ).

Study Objective: Experimental design approach by using rapid high performance liquid chromatographic method for the determination of Sacubitril and Valsartan 24mg and 26mg assay by dissolution method in table dosage.

Scope of Study: The present scope is to: Development and Validation of HPLC method for the estimation of dissolution in Sacubitril and Valsartan (24mg and 26mg) tablets. The proposed method shall be used for the quantification of active material Sacubitril and Valsartan 24mg/26mg. The proposed method shall be validated for, Precision, Intermediate Precision and Robustness as per ICH guideline.

Justification for Study: A modest, specific, precise and linear and accurate RP- HPLC method has been developed and validated for quantitative determination of Sacubitril and Valsartan 24mg/26mg in new tablet formulation. The method is very upfront and all the parameters and results were found within the acceptance limit.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Requirement: Chemical, Reagent, Placebo and Standards: Water, Potassium Di hydrogen phosphate, Orthophosphoric acid, Triethylamine, Methanol, Acetonitrile, Sacubitril and Valsartan standard, Sacubitril and Valsartan 24mg/26mg placebo, Sacubitril and Valsartan 24mg/26mg 80mg. Dissolution Apparatus Analytical Balance pH Meter Column Detector

Design of Experiment –DOE by different trails by Reverse Phase -HPLC Method

Selection of Chromatographic System

Degradation studies were carried out on a system consisted of 1200 series HPLC (Agilent Technologies) comprising of an on-line degasser (G1322A), binary pump (G1312A), auto injector (G1367C), column oven (G1310B), DAD detector (G1315C) and E Z Crome Elite (software). were used for method development trials to optimize the method as a stability indicating method for determination of Sacubitril and Valsartan 24mg/26mg. Selection of Buffer in Mobile Phase: Selection of Mobile Phase: Selection of HPLC Column: Selection of Diluent / Solvent for extraction:

Chromatographic Method: The chromatographic methods for the determination of assay of Termisatan tablet 20mg for validate the parameter Specificity and System suitability, linearity, precision, precision, intermediate precision, accuracy, range stability of solution and Robustness.

Chromatographic conditions: Column: Kinetic C 18, 50 mm X 4.6 mm, 5 μ or Equivalent, Wave length: UV 241nm Flow rate Injection: 1.0ml/minute Volume: 20 μ L Column oven Temperature: 30°C Run time: 11 minute

Dissolution Parameter: Medium: pH 6.8 phosphate buffer, Volume: 900 ml Apparatus: Paddle Volume: 20 μ L Temperature of medium: 30°C Sampling time: 30 minute

Specificity and System suitability

- Specificity refers to the capacity of an analytical method to differentiate between the analyte(s) and other constituents present in the sample matrix. In the context of an HPLC method, this is achieved through the thorough separation of the analyte(s) peaks from the peaks generated by other components in the sample matrix.
- The System Suitability Testing (SST) is used to verify that an analytical method was suitable for its intended purpose the day the analysis was done. It is an essential parameter to ensure the quality of the method for correct measurements.

| System Suitability Valsartan | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|
| S.No | Retention Time | Peak Area | Theoretical Plates | Asymmetry |
| 1. | 5.241 | 1478665 | 3611.42 | 1.51 |
| 2. | 5.229 | 1390412 | 3524.88 | 1.48 |
| 3. | 5.240 | 1301567 | 3516.44 | 1.40 |
| 4. | 5.219 | 1479371 | 3584.70 | 1.42 |
| 5. | 5.219 | 1388130 | 3635.40 | 1.44 |
| 6. | 5.251 | 1478665 | 3495.08 | 1.42 |
| 7. | 5.219 | 1490412 | 3495.08 | 1.41 |
| 8. | 5.219 | 1301567 | 3573.90 | 1.43 |
| 9. | 5.251 | 1499371 | 3659.19 | 1.42 |
| 10. | 5.219 | 1388130 | 3791.08 | 1.46 |
| Mean | 5.230 | 1487629 | | |
| SD | 0.014 | 3323.51 | | |
| % RSD | 0.26 | 0.14 | | |

| System Suitability Sacubitril | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|
| S.No | Retention Time | Peak Area | Theoretical Plates | Asymmetry |
| 1. | 7.241 | 1178665 | 9611.42 | 1.02 |
| 2. | 7.129 | 1190412 | 9524.88 | 1.02 |
| 3. | 7.140 | 1101567 | 9516.44 | 1.02 |
| 4. | 7.119 | 1179371 | 9584.70 | 1.03 |
| 5. | 7.19 | 1188130 | 9635.40 | 1.02 |
| 6. | 7.151 | 1178665 | 9495.08 | 1.02 |
| 7. | 7.119 | 1190412 | 9495.08 | 1.02 |
| 8. | 7.119 | 1101567 | 9573.90 | 1.03 |
| 9. | 7.151 | 1199371 | 9659.19 | 1.02 |
| 10. | 7.119 | 1188130 | 9791.08 | 1.02 |
| Mean | 7.130 | 1118762 | | |
| SD | 0.014 | 1846.43 | | |
| % RSD | 0.25 | 0.17 | | |

RESULTS

| Sr.No | Validation Parameter | Results | | Acceptance Criteria |
|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---|---|
| Observed Values: | System Suitability | Theoretical plate | Valsartan About 3791.08 Sacubitril 9791.08 | The column efficiency as determined for the Sacubitril and Valsartan from standard solution is not less than 2000 theoretical plates |
| | | Tailing Factor | Valsartan 1.42 Sacubitril 1.02 | |
| | Retention time | | Valsartan 5.230 Sacubitril 7.130 | The relative standard deviation for Sacubitril and Valsartan peak area obtained from five replicate injections of standard solution is not more 2.0 |
| | | Peak Area | Valsartan 1487629 Sacubitril 1118762 | |

Conclusion:

| Sr.No | Validation Parameter | Results | Acceptance Criteria |
|-------|---|---------|---------------------|
| • | The retention time of standard solution and sample solution is comparable with respect to retention time | | |
| • | There is no any interfering peak in the chromatogram obtained from blank solution and placebo solution at the retention time of analyte peak in the chromatogram obtained with the standard | | |
| • | The column efficiency as determined for the Sacubitril and Valsartan 24mg/26mg from standard solution is not less than 2000 theoretical plates | | |
| • | Tailing factor for the same peak is not more than 2. | | |
| • | The relative standard deviation for Sacubitril and Valsartan peak area obtained from five replicate injections of standard solution is not more 2.0 | | |

Linearity

The linearity of a method refers to its capacity to yield test results that are directly proportional to the concentration of the sample within a specified range. In the context of HPLC methods, the linear correlation between the detector response—measured as peak area and height—and the concentration of the sample is established. This relationship can be illustrated directly with the drug substance through the dilution of a standard stock solution or by individually weighing the sample components, following the recommended procedures.

| Sr.No | Validation Parameter | Results | | Acceptance Criteria | |
|----------------------|----------------------|---|----------|--|----------|
| Method and Procedure | | | | | |
| 1. | Method | Five linearity solutions were prepared by using Sacubitril and Valsartan standard at concentration levels ranging from 50% to 150 % of target concentration of Sacubitril and Valsartan 24mg/26mg 24mg/26mg Measured the peak area response of solution at Level 1 and Level 5 six times and other levels | | | |
| 2. | Acceptance criteria | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Linearity:• The co-relation is not less than 0.999• The % Y intercept is between -2 % to +2 %• % RSD of peak Reponses of 50 % level and 150% level should be NMT 2.0 | | | |
| 3. | Observed results | Correlation Coefficient | 0.99985 | Correlation coefficient should be not less than 0.999 | |
| | | %y-intercept | 1.77 | %y-intercept should be ±2.0 | |
| | | % RSD at lower level | 0.16 | % RSD of peak area response of 6 replicates at lower and higher levels should be more than 2.0 | |
| | | % RSD at higher level | 0.16 | | |
| Linearity level | Concentration in ppm | Area-Average | % of RSD | Statistical Analysis | |
| L1 (50%) | 20.20 | 1286885 | 0.16 | R ² | 0.9998 |
| L2 (80%) | 30.180 | 937473 | -- | Slope | 61997.06 |
| L3 100%) | 40.240 | 2514837 | -- | Y Intercept | 44388.40 |
| L4 120%) | 50.300 | 3164963 | --- | % Y Intercept | 1.77 |
| L5 150%) | 60.360 | 37911592 | 0.06 | Correlation coefficient | 0.99999 |
| | | | | Residual sum of squares | 19405 |

Conclusion:

Response of Sacubitril and Valsartan is linear over the concentration range 50% to 150% target concentration

Precision

Precision of an analytical method expresses the closeness of agreement between a series of measurements obtained from multiple sampling of the same homogeneous sample under the prescribed conditions. Precision may be considered at three levels: repeatability, intermediate precision and reproducibility.

| Sr.No | Validation Parameter | Results | Acceptance Criteria | |
|--|----------------------|--|---------------------|---|
| A. System Suitability : Sacubitril | | | | |
| 1. | System Suitability | Prepared standard solution as per the test methods and inject five times into the chromatographic system | | |
| | Acceptance criteria | <ul style="list-style-type: none">The column efficiency as determined for the Sacubitril from standard solution is not less than 3000 theoretical plates.Tailing factor for the same peak is not more than 2.0The relative standard deviation for peak area obtained from five replicate injections of standard solution is not more 2.0 | | |
| 2. Observed Values | | | | |
| System Precision | | Theoretical Plates | 12689 | The column efficiency as determined for the Sacubitril from standard solution is not less than 2000 theoretical plates. |
| | | Tailing Factors | 1.01 | Tailing factor for the same peak is not more than 2.0 |
| | | % RSD | 0.22 | The % RSD of % dissolution from Five samples should be more than 2.0 |
| 3. Results : | | | | |
| System Suitability and System Precision | Sr.No | Peak Area | Theoretical factor | Tailing Factor |
| | 1 | 1125959 | 12688 | 1.01 |
| | 2 | 112414 | 12725 | 1.01 |
| | 3 | 112564 | 12681 | 1.01 |
| | 4 | 112452 | 12658 | 1.01 |
| | 5 | 112023 | 12727 | 1.01 |
| | 6 | 112563 | 12689 | 1.01 |
| | Mean | 1123086 | 12689 | 1.01 |
| | SD | 2481.3 | | |
| % RSD | | 0.22 | | |
| Observed Results: | | | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">The observed theoretical plates obtained for the Sacubitril from standard solution is more than 3000 theoretical plates.The Observed Tailing factor obtained for the Sacubitril from the standard solution is less than 2.0.The % RSD of the peak area of obtained from five replica injections of the standard solution | | | | |
| Conclusion : | | | | |
| The above data shows that the system is precise. | | | | |
| B. Method Precision : Method and Procedure | | | | |
| 1. | Methods Precision | Prepared six sample solution of Sacubitril and Valsartan 24mg/26mg tablets 80 mg as per the test methods and inject into the chromatographic system | | |
| | Acceptance criteria | The % RSD of % assay from six samples should be more than 2.0 | | |
| 2. Observed Value | | | | |
| Method Precision | | % RSD | 0.38 | The % RSD of % assay from six samples should be more than 2.0 |
| 3. Results: | | | | |
| S.No | % Assay | | | |
| | Sacubitril | | Valsartan | |
| Injection-1 | 99.8 | | 91.2 | |

| Sr.No | Validation Parameter | Results | Acceptance Criteria |
|--|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| | Injection-2 | 90.8 | 92.6 |
| | Injection-3 | 93.4 | 95.2 |
| | Injection-4 | 98.8 | 91.9 |
| | Injection-5 | 96.6 | 91.8 |
| | Injection-6 | 89.7 | 99.0 |
| | Mean | 91.5 | 93.5 |
| | SD | 2.95 | 3.05 |
| | % RSD | 0.22 | 0.26 |
| | 95% confidence interval of mean | 98.8 to 96.6 | 95.2 to 99.0 |
| Conclusion : | | | |
| The above results show that the methods is precise | | | |

Accuracy

The accuracy of an analytical method expresses the closeness of agreement between the value accepted either as a conventional true value or an accepted reference value and the value obtained.

Method and Procedure: Sacubitril

| Sr.No | | Validation Parameter | | Results | | Acceptance Criteria | |
|---|-----------|---|--|--|------------|--|------|
| Method and Procedure | | | | | | | |
| 1. | | Accuracy was performed by spiking the Sacubitril drugs substance to the placebo at 50%, 100 % and 50% of target concentration of Sacubitril in triplicate at each level and analyzed as per the test method | | | | | |
| | | Acceptance Criteria | | The % recovery of accuracy levels should be not less than 98.0 and not more than 102 | | | |
| 2. Observed Values | | | | | | | |
| Accuracy | | | | Mean % recovery | 99.8 | The % recovery of accuracy levels should be not less than 98.0 and not more than 102.0 | |
| 3. Results | | | | | | | |
| Accuracy level | | Accuracy level in mg as Sacubitril | | Weight of drug added in mg Sacubitril | % Recovery | Statistical Analysis | |
| L1 (50%) | Sample -1 | 20.50 | | 20.29 | 100.2 | Mean | 99.9 |
| | Sample -2 | 20.59 | | 20.45 | 99.9 | | |
| | Sample -3 | 20.50 | | 20.59 | 99.9 | | |
| L2 (100%) | Sample -1 | 40.42 | | 40.42 | 99.9 | Mean | 99.8 |
| | Sample -2 | 40.60 | | 40.55 | 99.8 | | |
| | Sample -3 | 40.53 | | 40.38 | 99.8 | | |
| L3 (150%) | Sample -1 | 60.13 | | 59.62 | 98.9 | Mean | 99.8 |
| | Sample -2 | 60.22 | | 60.23 | 99.8 | | |
| | Sample -3 | 60.16 | | 60.23 | 99.8 | | |
| Overall Statistical Analysis | | | | | | | |
| Mean | | 99.8 | | SD | 0.33 | % RSD | 0.35 |
| Conclusion : The % recovery of accuracy levels should be not less than 98.0 and not more than 102 | | | | | | | |

Method and Procedure of Valsartan

| Sr.No | Validation Parameter | Results | Acceptance Criteria |
|-------|---|---------|---------------------|
| 4. | Accuracy was performed by spiking the Valsartan drugs substance to the placebo at 50%, 100 % and 50% of target concentration of in triplicate at each level and analyzed as per the test method | | |

| Sr.No | Validation Parameter | | Results | | Acceptance Criteria | |
|---|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--|------------|----------------------|--|
| Acceptance Criteria | | | The % recovery of accuracy levels should be not less than 98.0 and not more than 102 | | | |
| 5. Observed Values | | | | | | |
| Accuracy | | | Mean recovery | % | 99.87 | The % recovery of accuracy levels should be not less than 98.0 and not more than 102.0 |
| 6. Results | | | | | | |
| Accuracy level | | Accuracy level in mg as Valsartan | Weight of drug added in mg Valsartan | % Recovery | Statistical Analysis | |
| L1 (50 %) | Sample -1 | 20.47 | 20.26 | 100.3 | Mean | 99.9 |
| | Sample -2 | 20.53 | 20.48 | 99.7 | | |
| | Sample -3 | 20.62 | 20.59 | 99.4 | | |
| L1 (100 %) | Sample -1 | 40.48 | 40.45 | 100.2 | Mean | 99.8 |
| | Sample -2 | 40.52 | 40.55 | 99.9 | | |
| | Sample -3 | 40.53 | 40.38 | 99.7 | | |
| L1 (150 %) | Sample -1 | 60.13 | 59.63 | 98.8 | Mean | 99.6 |
| | Sample -2 | 60.27 | 60.24 | 99.4 | | |
| | Sample -3 | 60.14 | 60.24 | 100.2 | | |
| Overall Statistical Analysis | | | | | | |
| Mean | | 99.7 | SD | 0.32 | % RSD | 0.32 |
| Conclusion: The % recovery of accuracy levels should be not less than 98.0 and not more than 102. | | | | | | |

Range

Range of an analytical method is the interval between the upper and lower concentration of analyte in the sample (including these concentrations) for which it has been demonstrated that the analytical procedure has a suitable level of precision, accuracy, and linearity. The range is normally derived from the linearity studies and depends on the intended application of the procedure.

| Sr.No | Validation Parameter | Results | Acceptance Criteria |
|---|---|---|---------------------|
| Method and Procedure : Sacubitril and | | | |
| 1. | Range of analytical method can be obtained from linearity, Precision and accuracy data. Report range in % with respect to sample concentration. | | |
| Observed Values | | | |
| 2. | Range | The analytical method is linear , Precise and accurate from 50% to 150% of target concentration | ---- |
| Conclusion : | | | |
| It was concluded from the linearity, Precision and accuracy data that the analytical method is linear , Precise and accurate from 50% to 150% of target concentration | | | |

Solution Stability

Stability of the analytical solution and extraction time are other parameters which are also evaluated as additional parameters during robustness study. Stability of analytical solution is determined by assessing the results obtained by subjecting the analytical solution to the method parameters for longer period of time e.g. 4 hrs. 12 hrs., 24 hrs., 48 hrs. etc.

Method and Procedure: Sacubitril

| Sr.No | Validation Parameter | Results | Acceptance Criteria |
|--|--|---------|--|
| Method and Procedure : Sacubitril and Valsartan | | | |
| 1. | Standard Solution and Sample Solution was prepared as per test methods and stored at refrigerator condition. Solution Stability was evaluated at initial, 12 hours, 24 hours and 48 hours. | | |
| A. | Acceptance Criteria | - | The overall % RSA from initial replicate standard peaks and bracketing standards peak should be more than 2.0. |

| Sr.No | Validation Parameter | Results | Acceptance Criteria |
|---|----------------------|--|--|
| | | - The % assay difference from initial and corresponding time intervals should be more than 2 | |
| 1. Observed Values | | | |
| | Standard Solution | Standard Solution is stable up to 48 hours at refrigerator condition | The overall % RSA from initial replicate standard peaks and bracketing standards peak should be more than 2.0. |
| | Sample Solution | Sample solution is table up to 48 hours at refrigerator condition | The % assay difference from initial and corresponding time intervals should be more than 2.0 |
| 2. Results: | | | |
| | Standard Solution: | Time Interval | Over all % RSD |
| | Over % RSD | Initial | 0.18 |
| | | 12 hours | 0.22 |
| | | 24 hours | 0.23 |
| | | 48 Hours | 0.33 |
| | Standard Solution: | Time Interval | % Assay |
| | Over % RSD | Initial | 98.9 |
| | | 12 hours | 99.3 |
| | | 24 hours | 99.2 |
| | | 48 Hours | 99.9 |
| | | | Difference of % Assay |
| | | | -- |
| | | | 0.5 |
| | | | 0.3 |
| | | | 1.0 |
| Conclusion: From the above results it is concluded that standard and sample solutions are stable up to 48 Hrs. at Refrigerator | | | |

Method and Procedure: Valsartan

| Sr.No | Validation Parameter | Results | Acceptance Criteria |
|---|--|--|--|
| Method and Procedure : Valsartan | | | |
| 3. | Standard Solution and Sample Solution was prepared as per test methods and stored at refrigerator condition. Solution Stability was evaluated at initial, 12 hours, 24 hours and 48 hours. | | |
| B. | Acceptance Criteria | - The overall % RSA from initial replicate standard peaks and bracketing standards peak should be more than 2.0. - The % assay difference from initial and corresponding time intervals should be more than 2 | |
| 4. Observed Values | | | |
| | Standard Solution | Standard Solution is stable up to 48 hours at refrigerator condition | The overall % RSA from initial replicate standard peaks and bracketing standards peak should be more than 2.0. |
| | Sample Solution | Sample solution is table up to 48 hours at refrigerator condition | The % assay difference from initial and corresponding time intervals should be more than 2.0 |
| 5. Results : | | | |
| | Standard Solution :: Over % RSD | Time Interval | Over all % RSD |
| | | Initial | 0.19 |
| | | 12 hours | 0.21 |
| | | 24 hours | 0.23 |
| | | 48 Hours | 0.34 |
| | Standard Solution :: Over % RSD | Time Interval | % Assay |
| | | Initial | 98.8 |
| | | 12 hours | 99.2 |
| | | 24 hours | 99.5 |
| | | 48 Hours | 99.7 |
| | | | Difference of % Assay |
| | | | -- |
| | | | 0.4 |
| | | | 0.2 |
| | | | 1.1 |
| Conclusion: From the above results it is concluded that standard and sample solutions are stable up to 48 Hrs. at Refrigerator | | | |

Robustness

The robustness of an analytical procedure is a measure of its capacity to remain unaffected by small, but deliberate variations in method parameters and provides an indication of its reliability during normal usage. It is partially evaluated during method development stages.

Method and Procedure: Sacubitril

| Sr.No | Validation Parameter | Results | Acceptance Criteria | |
|--|--|---|--|--------------------|
| Method and Procedure : Sacubitril | | | | |
| 1. | Filter variability | Prepared three samples solutions as per the test method. One portion of the solution was centrifuged and the other portion of sample solution was filtered through two types of filters Nylon and PVDF and calculated the difference of % assay | | |
| | Acceptance Criteria | The difference of % assay compared from centrifuge to the filtered samples should not be more than | | |
| 2. Observed Values | | | | |
| Filter variability | Maximum difference (Centrifuge Vs Nylon) | 0.4 | The difference of % assay compared from centrifuge to the filtered samples should not be more than 2.0 | |
| | Maximum difference (Centrifuge Vs PVDF) | 1.6 | | |
| 3. Results | | | | |
| Sr.No | % Assay | Difference | | |
| | Centrifuge | Nylon | PVDF | Centrifuge Vs PVDF |
| 1 | 99.6 | 99.6 | 99.3 | 1.3 |
| 2 | 99.67 | 99.5 | 99.6 | 1.0 |
| 3 | 99.7 | 99.5 | 99.1 | 1.6 |
| Conclusion : | | | | |
| The Maximum difference Centrifuge Vs Nylon and PVDF membrane filter. Hence it is concluded that both Nylon and PVDF filters are suitable for the filtration of the sample solutions. | | | | |

Method and Procedure: Valsartan

| Method and Procedure | | Results | Acceptance Criteria | |
|----------------------|---|---|--|---|
| Sr.No | Validation Parameter | | | |
| Method and Procedure | | | | |
| 1. | Filter variability | Prepared three samples solutions as per the test method. One portion of the solution was centrifuged and the other portion of sample solution was filtered through two types of filters Nylon and PVDF and calculated the difference of % assay | | |
| | Acceptance Criteria | The difference of % assay compared from centrifuge to the filtered samples should not be more than | | |
| 2. Observed Values | | | | |
| Filter variability | Maximum difference (Centrifuge Vs Nylon) | 0.3 | The difference of % assay compared from centrifuge to the filtered samples should not be more than 2.0 | |
| | Maximum difference (Centrifuge Vs PVDF) | 1.4 | | |
| 3. Results | | | | |
| Sr.No | % Assay | Difference | | |
| | Centrifuge | Nylon | PVDF | Centrifuge Vs Nylon Centrifuge Vs PVDF |
| 1 | 99.6 | 99.5 | 99.2 | 0.3 1.4 |
| 2 | 99.67 | 99.5 | 99.3 | 0.2 1.2 |
| 3 | 99.7 | 99.4 | 99.2 | 0.3 1.3 |

| Sr.No | Validation Parameter | Results | Acceptance Criteria |
|--|----------------------|---------|---------------------|
| Conclusion: | | | |
| The Maximum difference Centrifuge Vs Nylon and PVDF membrane filter. Hence it is concluded that both Nylon and PVDF filters are suitable for the filtration of the sample solutions. | | | |

CONCLUSION

In the current study the effort has been undertaken to improve most simple, economical, sensitive and correct analytical HPLC method for the immediate valuation of these drugs without their prior separation. The method gives resolution with a short analysis time (< 14min). The method parameter was validated and establishes to be simple, sensitive, accurate and precise. Percentage of recovery shows that the method is free from interference of the excipients used in the formulation. Therefore, the planned method can be used for routine analysis of Sacubitril and Valsartan 24mg/26mg in medical dosage form.

REFERENCES

1. M. Bader, Renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system, In Encyclopedic reference of molecular pharmacology, 2004, 810-814.
2. www.drugbank.ca/drugs/DB00177/Valsartan.
3. Jag, Acne, Chandra, S.Al-Fayoumi, M.Ligueros-Saylan, R.Sarangapani, S.Maahs, G.Ksander, DF. Riel, A.Y.Jeng, TH. Lin, W.Zheng, WP. Dole, Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of LCZ696, a novel dual-acting angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitor (ARNi), *J. Clin. Pharmacol.*, 2010, 50(4), 401-414.
4. www.drugbank.ca/drugs/DB09292/Sacubitril.
5. N. Madana Gopal, C.Sridhar, RP-UPLC method for simultaneous estimation of sacubitril and valsartan in its bulk and tablet dosage form with force degradation studies, *Int. J. of ChemTech Res.* 2017, 10(4), 279-287.
6. Uqhtar Ahmed, A.Satishkumar Shetty, Manzoor Ahmed, V.Krishna, C.Aradhya, SM.Anil Kumar and MS. Siddalinga Swamy, Stability indicating RP-HPLC method for simultaneous estimation of sacubitril and valsartan in bulk and combined pharmaceutical dosage form, *World J. Of Pharmacy and Pharm. Sci.*, 2017, 6(4), 1714-1728.
7. HP.Kena, VL.Shailesh, BN.Sachin, Simultaneous estimation of sacubitril and valsartan in synthetic mixture by RP-HPLC method, *J. Pharm. Sci. Bioscientific Res*, 2016, 6(3), 262-269.
8. V.Haribhaskar, M.Sukanya, B.Kumar, M.Gobinath, D.Ramesh, Analytical method development and validation for the simultaneous estimation of sacubitril and valsartan by RP-HPLC method in bulk and pharmaceutical formulations, *Int. J. Current Trends Pharm. Res*, 2016, 4(5), 246-252.
9. RHB.Chunduri, GS.Dannan, Development and validation of a reliable and rapid LC-MS/MS method for simultaneous quantification of sacubitril and valsartan in rat plasma and its application to a pharmacokinetic study, *Biomed Chromatogr.*, 2016, 30, 1467–1475.
10. V.Swathi, P.Parthiban, New method development and validation for the simultaneous estimation of Sacubitril and Valsartan in bulk and pharmaceutical dosage forms, *Int. J. of Res.*, 2017, 4(1), 17-24.
11. International Conference on Harmonization. 2005. Validation of analytical procedures: Text and methodology Q2 (R1). Geneva: International Conference on Harmonization. Accessed, 5, 2013